

ADMISSIONS STRATEGY

The Myth of International Volunteering: Why Local Depth Beats Global Photo-Ops



By **Alex Gomelskiy**
Founder and Director of Admissions Strategy, Scholar Coach, Inc.

October 20, 2026



A high school student spends a week abroad helping renovate a school, visits a community organization, and returns home with photographs documenting the experience. The trip was expensive, the itinerary was carefully planned, and the student genuinely enjoyed meeting people from another country.

But what did the experience accomplish for the community? What did the student contribute that local residents or established organizations could not have accomplished without the visiting group? And what happened after the student returned home?

These questions are not arguments against international service. They are questions about whether a service experience is designed around the needs of the people it intends to help—or primarily around the experience of the volunteer.

For parents of students applying to selective colleges, this distinction matters. International volunteering can provide meaningful cultural learning and community support. Yet traveling overseas does not automatically make an activity more impactful, and a costly service trip is not inherently stronger than several years of consistent work close to home.

The strongest service experience is not the one that looks most impressive in photographs. It is the one in which a student can explain whom they served, what they contributed, and why the work mattered.

WHY INTERNATIONAL SERVICE DESERVES CLOSER EXAMINATION

Short-term international volunteering is sometimes described as voluntourism: travel that combines tourism with volunteer activities. The term covers a wide range of experiences, from carefully planned partnerships with established local organizations to programs in which service is a relatively small component of a commercial travel package.

It would be unfair to treat every international program as ineffective. Some provide useful funding, technical assistance, educational exchange, and sustained partnerships. Others may be poorly matched to local needs, rely on visiting volunteers for tasks that require professional qualifications, or prioritize the visitor's experience over long-term outcomes.

The important distinction is not simply whether a student traveled. It is how the program operates.

UNICEF's guidance on ethical work involving children and communities emphasizes safeguarding, meaningful consent, confidentiality, risk management, and accountability.¹ These principles are relevant when evaluating service programs involving children, vulnerable populations, or sensitive personal information.

For example, a program that allows visiting teenagers to photograph children in a residential care setting raises questions about privacy, consent, and the potential consequences for those photographed. A program that

Want a plan built around **your** student?

Book a free consultation. The short intake takes about a minute and opens right away.

[BOOK NOW →](#)

assigns untrained students to provide specialized services may create risks even when the volunteers have good intentions.

Parents should therefore examine the structure of an international service opportunity before considering its potential role in a college application.

WHAT COLLEGES SAY ABOUT EXTRACURRICULAR ACTIVITIES

There is no universal admissions rule that local service is always more valuable than international service. Colleges evaluate applicants in context, and the meaning of an activity depends on the student's actual involvement and circumstances.

MIT's official extracurricular guidance encourages students to choose activities because they genuinely interest and challenge them, rather than because they believe the activities will look impressive on an application. It also recognizes that students have different opportunities, responsibilities, and resources.²

Yale's admissions guidance similarly identifies concern for others, intellectual initiative, and meaningful contributions as qualities it values. Yale also emphasizes that applicants are considered in context rather than according to a single formula.³

These principles do not establish that a three-year local volunteer commitment will always outweigh a two-week international trip. They do suggest that families should not assume international travel is inherently more impressive.

A student who spends several years helping a local food pantry improve its inventory process may demonstrate initiative, reliability, and an understanding of a community's needs. Another student might participate in a well-designed international public health partnership and contribute meaningful work under appropriate supervision.

Either experience could be valuable. The student's role and the quality of the work matter more than the geographic distance traveled.

THE FOUR QUESTIONS EVERY FAMILY SHOULD ASK

Before paying for an international service program—or choosing any service activity primarily for college applications—parents should ask four questions.

1. WHO IDENTIFIED THE NEED?

Was the project requested by a local organization or community, or did the travel provider design an activity first and search for a community in which to conduct it?

A project is more likely to address a genuine need when local partners have meaningful authority over its goals and implementation. Families should look for evidence that community members helped determine what assistance was needed.

2. WHAT WILL THE STUDENT ACTUALLY CONTRIBUTE?

Does the student have relevant skills? Will they perform a defined task under appropriate supervision? Or will most of the trip consist of observing, taking photographs, and completing activities designed primarily for visitors?

A student does not need specialized expertise to make a useful contribution. But the work should be appropriate to their abilities and should not substitute untrained teenagers for qualified professionals.

3. WHAT HAPPENS AFTER THE STUDENT LEAVES?

Does the organization have a plan for maintaining the project, transferring responsibility, and measuring results? If students help build something, who will repair it? If they create educational materials, are those materials appropriate for the intended users and will they continue to be used?

Not every short-term contribution must produce a permanent institution. A project can provide immediate value. But families should understand what the work is intended to accomplish and whether that outcome is realistic.

4. IS THE PROGRAM TRANSPARENT ABOUT COST AND IMPACT?

Ask how much of the fee supports travel, accommodation, staffing, administration, and the local partner. Request

Want a plan built around **your** student?

Book a free consultation. The short intake takes about a minute and opens right away.

BOOK NOW →

specific information about the project's goals, oversight, and follow-up.

A high fee does not establish that a program is ineffective, just as a low fee does not prove that it is responsible. The purpose of these questions is to understand what the family is purchasing and what the community is expected to receive.

WHY SUSTAINED LOCAL SERVICE CAN BE SO VALUABLE

Local service offers one practical advantage: students can often remain involved long enough to understand a problem, build relationships, and see how their contributions develop over time.

Imagine a student who volunteers at a neighborhood food pantry.

During the first few months, the student helps sort donations and distribute food. They begin noticing that certain items are consistently unavailable and that volunteers sometimes spend unnecessary time locating supplies.

Rather than simply adding more volunteer hours, the student asks the pantry coordinator whether they can help document inventory patterns. With permission, they create a simple tracking spreadsheet, test it with staff, and revise it based on feedback.

Over the next year, the student trains new volunteers to use the system and documents whether it makes inventory easier to manage.

This example offers several forms of evidence: direct service, observation, initiative, collaboration, and follow-through. The student's contribution developed because they returned consistently enough to understand the organization's operations.

The outcome should still be described accurately. The student should not claim to have eliminated food insecurity or transformed the entire organization if they improved only one part of its inventory process.

The value lies in the specificity of the contribution and the student's understanding of the problem.

LOCAL SERVICE DOES NOT AUTOMATICALLY MEAN MEANINGFUL SERVICE

A local volunteer position can be superficial, too. Attending an annual charity event, completing required hours, or distributing supplies without understanding the organization's work may provide limited evidence of sustained engagement.

Likewise, a short international experience can be worthwhile if it has a clearly defined purpose, responsible local leadership, appropriate supervision, and a realistic scope.

The distinction is not between local and international geography. It is between activities in which students are primarily consumers of an experience and activities in which they make a genuine contribution.

Families should also avoid creating service projects simply to claim leadership. A student does not need to found a nonprofit, create a new initiative, or hold an executive title to contribute meaningfully. Supporting an established organization over time can be more useful than launching a project that duplicates existing work or disappears after applications are submitted.

Before starting something new, ask local organizations what they actually need. The best response may be to join an existing effort rather than create another one.

HOW STUDENTS SHOULD PRESENT SERVICE IN THEIR APPLICATIONS

When describing service, students should focus on their responsibilities, the people or organization served, the work completed, and any defensible outcomes.

A description such as "Participated in an international service trip helping disadvantaged children" provides little information about the student's actual contribution.

A more informative description might explain that the student helped a partner organization prepare educational materials, assisted staff with a supervised activity, or completed a specific project requested by local educators—provided those statements accurately reflect the experience.

The same standard applies to local volunteering. "Volunteered at a food pantry" is less informative than a description that identifies the student's recurring responsibilities or a concrete improvement they helped

Want a plan built around **your** student?

Book a free consultation. The short intake takes about a minute and opens right away.

BOOK NOW →

implement.

Students should be careful with claims about impact. They can report the number of shifts worked, materials prepared, or people served when those figures are accurate and properly attributed. They should not claim that a program improved educational outcomes or public health unless there is credible evidence supporting that conclusion.

Photographs can document participation, but they should never replace an explanation of the work. Students must also respect privacy and obtain appropriate permission before sharing images or personal stories involving others.

The objective is to describe the experience honestly, not to make it sound more consequential than it was.

HOW SCHOLAR COACH HELPS FAMILIES EVALUATE SERVICE OPPORTUNITIES

Scholar Coach helps families evaluate service activities in the context of a student's interests, responsibilities, and broader academic development.

Step 1: Clarify the student's motivation. We examine why the student wants to participate, what issues genuinely interest them, and whether the activity connects to an existing commitment or represents a new area of exploration. We do not assume that service must match a prospective college major.

Step 2: Evaluate the organization and project. We help families identify the intended beneficiaries, the organization's stated needs, the student's responsibilities, the level of supervision, and the program's plans for continuity. For international programs, this includes examining local partnerships, safeguarding practices, and how program fees are used.

Step 3: Define a realistic contribution. We help students identify work appropriate to their skills and experience. This may mean joining an established organization, supporting a long-term initiative, or completing a narrowly defined project rather than creating a new nonprofit.

Step 4: Encourage reflection and follow-through. Students should be able to explain what they learned about the issue, what challenges arose, how they responded to feedback, and what remains unresolved. Where appropriate, they can continue supporting the organization after the formal activity ends.

Step 5: Communicate the experience accurately. We help students describe their actual responsibilities and outcomes in the activities list and relevant essays. We distinguish personal contributions from group accomplishments and avoid unsupported claims about impact.

Scholar Coach does not guarantee that a particular service activity will improve admission chances. Our goal is to help families make thoughtful decisions about where to invest their time and money, while helping students present meaningful work honestly.

CHOOSE THE WORK BEFORE CHOOSING THE DESTINATION

International service can be a valuable experience when it responds to genuine community priorities and is organized responsibly. Local service can be equally valuable when students commit themselves to understanding a problem and contributing in a sustained, practical way.

Parents should therefore reverse the usual question. Instead of asking which destination would look best on an application, ask which problem the student is genuinely prepared to help address—and what kind of contribution would actually be useful.

A student who returns to the same organization, learns from its staff, accepts unglamorous responsibilities, and follows through may develop a deeper understanding of service than one who collects several short-term experiences. But the measure is not the number of years alone. It is the substance of the work.

For selective-college applicants, the strongest service story is not necessarily the most dramatic one. It is the one the student can explain with humility, specificity, and credible evidence of contribution.

SOURCES

¹ UNICEF, Policy on Ethics in Evidence Activities Involving People as Participants or Subjects: <https://www.unicef.org/documents/policy-ethics-evidence-activities-involving-people-participants-or-subjects>

² MIT Admissions, Extracurricular Activities: <https://mitadmissions.org/apply/prepare/activities/>

³ Yale Undergraduate Admissions, What Yale Looks For: <https://admissions.yale.edu/what-yale-looks-for>

Want a plan built around **your** student?

Book a free consultation. The short intake takes about a minute and opens right away.

BOOK NOW →